PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Troil the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD.	PCT
P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
	(PCT Rule 44.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 06 SEP 2006
Applicant's or agent's file reference 489/04977	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/II.05/01318	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 December 2005 (07.12.2005)
Applicant TYLERTON INTERNATIONAL INC.	(07.12.2003)
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search have been established and are transmitted herewith.	th report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim	ms of the international application (see Pute 46).
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is a search report.	normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.:	34 chemin des Colombettes (41-22) 338.82.70.
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the acc	companying sheet.
2 The applicant is hereby notified that no international search	
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	anal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that
the protest together with the decision thereon has been request to forward the texts of both the protest and the	transmitted to the International Dunas to all the state of the International Dunas to all the state of the International Dunas to all the state of the International Dunas to all the Inte
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the appli-	cant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. Reminders	}
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Retechnical preparations for international publication.	a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the ules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. The before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.	ese comments would also be made available to the public but not
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the er (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.	20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (of See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applic Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months. cable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,
lame and mailing address of the ISA/US	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Authorized officer Michael Brown Authorized officer
Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 acsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Delephone No. 571-272-4972
m PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004) Docketed By	(See notes on accompanying sheet)
19 SEP 20	
To: MK	ME d
TON 62.2 M	Concentration

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 489/04977	FOR FURTHER see Form PCT/ISA/220 ACTION as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
International application No. PCT/IL05/01318	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 December 2005 (07.12.2005)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)			
Applicant TYLERTON INTERNATIONAL INC.					
This international search report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being This international search report consists of	_	thority and is transmitted to the applicant			
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.					
the international a a translation of the of a translation fur b. With regard to any nucleotid Certain claims were found to Unity of invention is lacking With regard to the title, the text is approved as submit		d, which is the language h (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))			
5. With regard to the abstract. the text is approved as submitted the text has been established, a	according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority a	s it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant			
6. With regard to the drawings , a. the figure of the drawings to be pu as suggested by the ap as selected by this Au	thority, because the applicant failed to sugges thority, because this figure better characterize	st a figure.			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

A. CI	LASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		PCT/IL05/0131	8
IPC:	A61H 1/00(2006.01)			
USPC: According	601/5 g to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to bo	th national classification:	and IPC	
B. FIF	ELDS SEARCHED			
U.S. :	documentation searched (classification system follow 601/1, 5; 318/568.1	ved by classification symb	ools)	
Documenta	ation searched other than minimum documentation to	the extent that such docu	iments are included in	n the fields searched
Electronic d	lata base consulted during the international search (n	name of data base and, wh	ere practicable, searc	h terms used)
C. DOC	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category *	Citation of document, with indication when	re appropriate of the role		
Χ	US, 6,246,200 B1 (BLUMENKRANZ et al.) 12 J	une 2001. See the entire	document	Relevant to claim N
				I-59
			İ	
1				
Further				
randici (to our and			
Sne	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent f	amily annex.	
Spe	ecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document	amily annex. published after the internat	ional filing date or priority
Spe	ecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document date and not in	published after the internat	
A" document d particular re	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date	"T" later document date and not in principle or the "X" document of pa considered now	published after the internat conflict with the application cory underlying the invention articular relevance; the claim	n but cited to understand the
Spe A" document d particular re carlier appli document w	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of slevance	"T" later document date and not in principle or the "X" document of pa considered now when the document.	published after the internat conflict with the application cory underlying the invention articular relevance: the claim el or cannot be considered to ment is taken afone	n but cited to understand the n ned invention cannot be to involve an inventive step
A" document diparticular re carlier applia document with establish the specified) document rei	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on price for the international filing.	"Y" later document date and not in principle or the "X" document of pa considered now when the document of pa considered to in with one or mor	published after the internat conflict with the application corry underlying the invention articular relevance; the claim el or cannot be considered to ment is taken aione rticular relevance; the claim wolve an inventive step where	n but cited to understand the n need invention cannot be to involve an inventive step
A" document diparticular re carlier applia document with establish the specified) document rei document pui priority date	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed	"Y" later document date and not in principle or the "X" document of pa considered now when the document of pa considered to in with one or mor obvious to a per	published after the internat conflict with the application corrupt underlying the invention articular relevance; the claim led or cannot be considered to ment is taken alone reficular relevance; the claim avolve an inventive step where other such documents, such asson skilled in the art	in but cited to understand the in and invention cannot be to involve an inventive step and invention cannot be the document is combined on combination being
A" document diparticular re carlier applia document wiestablish the specified) document rei document pul priority date of the actual	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed al completion of the international search	"Y" later document date and not in principle or the "X" document of pa considered now when the document of particular document of particular document of particular document of particular document one or more obvious to a per document membrane."	published after the internat conflict with the application conflict with the application corp underlying the invention articular relevance; the claim the properties of the claim that it taken alone reticular relevance; the claim twolve an inventive step where other such documents, such conflictions will be art the properties of the same patent family	n but cited to understand the in med invention cannot be to involve an inventive step ned invention cannot be ten the document is combined och combination being
document de particular re carlier applia document wiestablish the specified) document priority date of the actual July 2006 (18	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed all completion of the international search 8.07.2006)	"Y" later document date and not in principle or the "X" document of pa considered now when the document of pa considered to in with one or mor obvious to a per	published after the internat conflict with the application conflict with the application corporation of the considered of the considered of the considered of the considered of the conflict o	n but cited to understand the n med invention cannot be to involve an inventive step and invention cannot be entitle document is combined ch combination being
document de particular re carlier applii document we establish the specified) document pur priority date carte of the actual July 2006 (18) me and mailir	ecial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed al completion of the international search 3.07.2006) ing address of the ISA/US	"Y" document of pa considered now when the document of pa considered to in with one or mor obvious to a per document memb	published after the internat conflict with the application conflict with the application corporation of the considered of the considered of the considered of the considered of the conflict o	n but cited to understand the n need invention cannot be to involve an inventive step need invention cannot be an the document is combined och combination being
document di particular re carlier applii document wi establish the specified) "document pui priority date of the actual July 2006 (18) me and mailir Mail St Commi	ectial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed all completion of the international search 8.07.2006) In address of the ISA/US Solop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Solop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Solop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	"Y" document of pa considered now when the document of pa considered to in with one or mor obvious to a per document memb	published after the internat conflict with the application conflict with the application corporation of the invention articular relevance: the claim reled or cannot be considered to ment is taken afone reticular relevance; the claim avolve an inventive step where other such documents, such conson skilled in the art over of the same patent family international search re-	n but cited to understand the n need invention cannot be to involve an inventive step need invention cannot be ean the document is combined och combination being
A" document diparticular re c" carlier applii " document with establish the specified) " document rei " document pui priority date cutte of the actus July 2006 (18) me and mailir Mail St Commit P.O. Bo	ectial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed all completion of the international search 8.07.2006) ng address of the ISA/US sp PCT, Attn: ISA/US ssioner for Patents	"Y" document of pa considered now when the document of pa considered to in with one or mor obvious to a per document memb	published after the internat conflict with the application conflict with the application corp underlying the invention articular relevance; the claim rel or cannot be considered to ment is taken afone relevance; the claim avolve an inventive step where other such documents, successor skilled in the art per of the same patent family international search reaches.	n but cited to understand the n need invention cannot be to involve an inventive step need invention cannot be ean the document is combined och combination being
document diparticular re carlier applia document winestablish the specified) document rei document pui priority date of the actual July 2006 (18 me and mailir Mail St Comming P.O. Bo Alexand Simile No. (5)	ectial categories of cited documents: efining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of elevance cation or patent published on or after the international filing date hich may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to publication date of another citation or other special reason (as ferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means blished prior to the international filing date but later than the claimed all completion of the international search 8.07.2006) In address of the ISA/US Solop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Solop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Solop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	"Y" document of pa considered now when the document of pa considered to in with one or mor obvious to a per document memb	published after the internat conflict with the application conflict with the application corp underlying the invention articular relevance; the claim rel or cannot be considered to ment is taken afone relevance; the claim avolve an inventive step where other such documents, successor skilled in the art per of the same patent family international search reaches.	n but cited to understand the n need invention cannot be to involve an inventive step need invention cannot be ean the document is combined och combination being

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL05/01318

Box IV TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of Item 5 of the first sheet)			
NEW ABSTRACT: A wellness apparatus (102), including at least one support (104), for a body portion of a user of the apparatus, at least one robotic actuator (106), adapted to move the body portion; and a controller (120), configured to control the actuator in accordance with needs for physical exercise, the controller is also adapted for assisting the user with primarily non-physical exercise activity.			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(3)) (April 2005)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNAT	ΓΙΟΝΑL SEAR	CHING AUTH	IORITY		
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD. P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
Applicant'	s or agent's file	reference		Date of mailing (day/month/year FOR FURTHE	e) U D SEP ZUUD
489/04977				TORTORINE	See paragraph 2 below
Internation	al application N	lo.	International filing date	(dav/month/vear)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/IL05/	01318	Thomy date (adymont			
		fication (IPC)	or both national classificati	on and IPC	04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)
IPC: A USPC: 6	61H 1/00 (200 01/5				
Applicant					
TYLERTO	N INTERNATI	ONAL INC.			
1. This op	oinion contains	indications rela	ting to the following items	:	
\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion		
	Box No. II Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establis	hment of opinion with rece	ard to novelty inv	enfive eten and industrial applicability
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned sta	tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1	(a)(i) with regard	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. VI	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
o termome	IED CENO			- appround:	
	HER ACTIO				
Authority	y other than thi	is one to be the	AUDORIO ("IPEA") exce	pt that this does EA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an at International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) ered.
		contor, writing a	considered to be a written ppropriate, with amendme biration of 22 months from	'DIS Defore the ev	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing
For furthe	er options, see I	Form PCT/ISA	/220.	and priority date,	winehever expires fater.
	er details, see no				
ame and ma	iling address of	the ISA/ US	Date of completion	a of this opinion	Authorized officer
Mail	Stop PCT, Attn: missioner for Pate	ISA/US	ļ	-	For 100
P.O. 1	Box 1450		18 July 2006 (18.0	77.2006)	Michael Brown C. Liley
Alexa Simile No	andria, Virginia 2 (571) 273-3201	2313-1450			Telephone No. 571-272-4972
		t) (April 2005)			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/IL05/01318

Box I	No. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With inver	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed
а.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. [] 4. Additio	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. nal comments:
n PCT/IS	A/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL05/01318

1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims 1-59	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims 1-59	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-59	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-59 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Blumenkranz et al.

Claims 1-59 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus are industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: 'Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11): 'Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 clasms and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 - "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added.

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in certain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not act as International Searching Authority and where it has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b), be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a demand is made, the applicant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority a reply to the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later (Rule 43bis.1(c)).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.